The journal of
PROSTHETIC AND IMPLANT DENTISTRY
Official Publication of Indian Prosthodontic Society
Kerala State Branch

Digital First or Fundamentals First? A Prosthodontic Perspective in Transition

Dr Queen Alice Arul, Assistant Professor, Department of Dentistry, AIIMS, Kalyani,West Bengal, India (alice.dental@aiimskalyani.edu.in)

Introduction

The evolution of prosthodontics is increasingly shaped by technological advances. With the rise of digital tools, the traditional foundation of analog techniques is being re-evaluated. A significant academic and clinical question arises: Should training and practice prioritize digital workflows from the start ("Digital First"), or should they be built on traditional foundational skills first ("Fundamentals First")? This article explores the clinical, educational, and philosophical implications of each approach and proposes a balanced, integrative model supported by academic evidence and comparative data.

Understanding the Fundamentals of Prosthodontics

Historically, prosthodontics has been centered on the mastery of basic techniques: accurate impressions, occlusal harmony, articulation, esthetic evaluation, and manual dexterity. These fundamentals are taught using conventional tools like facebows, articulators, and wax-ups. They are not merely technical steps; they cultivate critical thinking, clinical judgment, and anatomical understanding.

Table 1: Strengths of "Fundamentals First" Approach

Aspect	Description
Clinical Judgment	Enhances understanding of occlusion, esthetics, and material behavior
Manual Dexterity	Develops fine motor skills and hand–eye coordination
Diagnostic Acumen	Improves interpretation of intraoral and anatomical variations
Error Management	Clinicians can troubleshoot without over-reliance on technology
Flexibility	Adapts to non-ideal cases where digital tools may not work optimally

[Source: Shillingburg et al. (2012); Hattab et al. (2022)]

Digital Dentistry: A Transformational Shift

Modern prosthodontics now incorporates CAD/CAM systems, 3D printing, intraoral scanners, and virtual smile design. These innovations offer unprecedented levels of efficiency, accuracy, and patient engagement.

Table 2: Benefits of "Digital First" Approach

Aspect	Description
Time Efficiency	Reduces appointment durations and lab turnaround times
Precision	Digital scans are highly accurate with minimal distortion
Patient Experience	Enhances comfort and improves communication through visual simulations
Standardization	Minimizes variation in outcomes across operators
Integration	Supports interdisciplinary collaboration and remote planning

[Source: Mangano et al. (2016); Coachman et al. (2017)]

Education: The Crossroads of Two Eras

In prosthodontic education, the sequencing of digital and analog training impacts how students develop critical competencies. There is debate over whether digital methods hinder or help the formation of deep understanding in early learners.

Table 3: Educational Comparison of the Two Approaches

Criteria	Fundamentals First	Digital First
Learning Curve	Steeper early on but builds lasting skills	Fast adoption, but may lack conceptual depth
Skill Transferability	High adaptability in analog and mixed settings	May struggle in non-digital environments
Student Motivation	Lower initially due to repetitive techniques	Higher due to technological engagement
Preparation for Practice	Strong foundation, but delayed tech exposure	Ready for modern clinics, may lack depth
Recommended Model	Analog → Digital (Hybrid)	Early digital exposure with foundational anchoring

[Source: Al-Harbi & Al-Jundi (2020); American College of Prosthodontists (2022)]

Clinical Application and Workflow Flexibility

In practice, clinical decision-making benefits from both analog and digital expertise. For instance, full-arch implant prostheses may benefit from digital planning

The journal of
PROSTHETIC AND IMPLANT DENTISTRY
Official Publication of Indian Prosthodontic Society
Kerala State Branch

and guided surgery but still require foundational knowledge to assess occlusal schemes and esthetic zones.

Moreover, the accuracy of intraoral scanners in edentulous cases, long-span arches, or deep subgingival margins can still be questionable (Mangano et al., 2016). In such cases, analog impressions provide a safety net. A clinician grounded in fundamentals can identify when digital systems produce errors, whether from motion artifacts, scan stitching errors, or soft tissue collapse. Thus, digital workflows do not replace fundamentals but rather depend on them for effective implementation.

Professional Identity and Future Skillsets

As technology advances, the role of the prosthodontist evolves from being a hands-on craftsman to a digital coordinator—designing prostheses, interpreting 3D images, and supervising milling or printing. This shift demands new competencies but must not come at the expense of foundational knowledge.

The modern prosthodontist should be both a diagnostician and a digital artisan. Understanding tooth morphology, occlusal dynamics, and esthetics allows clinicians to evaluate software-generated designs critically. Without these insights, a prosthodontist becomes a technician rather than a clinician.

The American College of Prosthodontists (2022) emphasizes this integration in their competency statements, advocating that students demonstrate proficiency in both digital and conventional methods.

Patient-Centered Outcomes: A Unified Priority

While digital tools offer a more engaging and comfortable experience, the success of any prosthodontic procedure depends on sound diagnosis and customization.

Table 4: Patient Benefits from Integrated Approach

Factor	Digital Contribution	Fundamentals Contribution
Comfort	No trays or materials during scanning	Gentle techniques in challenging oral conditions
Esthetic Expectation	Digital mockups and previews	Esthetic principles rooted in facial analysis
Accuracy	CAD/CAM design precision	Functional anatomy consideration
Customization	Virtual planning tools	Clinical insight based on tactile feedback
Long-term Satisfaction	Depends on both digital and clinical accuracy	Rooted in diagnostics, occlusion, and follow-up

[Source: Coachman et al. (2017); Shillingburg et al. (2012)]

Towards a Synthesis: Integrative Prosthodontics

Rather than viewing "Digital First" and "Fundamentals First" as opposing philosophies, the future lies in integration. Curricula should be restructured to teach both in tandem, allowing students to develop manual skills while engaging with digital tools contextually.

The goal is not to choose between tradition and innovation but to blend them—leveraging technology to enhance, not replace, foundational expertise.

Faculty training, infrastructure investment, and pedagogical redesign are critical to achieving this balance. Institutions should provide access to intraoral scanners, 3D printers, and virtual planning software while continuing to emphasize clinical reasoning, occlusion analysis, and treatment planning.

Conclusion

In prosthodontics, both digital technologies and foundational principles are essential. Digital tools offer speed, precision, and enhanced patient engagement, while fundamentals provide depth, adaptability, and diagnostic accuracy. The best prosthodontists will be those who master both realms—those who can scan and mill, but also assess esthetics, manage occlusion, and adapt designs based on clinical realities.

The future of prosthodontics does not lie in choosing between "Digital First" and "Fundamentals First" but in strategic integration. The optimal approach combines early exposure to digital tools with a solid grounding in foundational prosthodontic concepts. This balanced philosophy supports deeper learning, clinical flexibility, and better patient outcomes.

As the profession transitions, educators, institutions, and clinicians must collaborate to redesign curricula and clinical protocols that empower future prosthodontists to be both digitally fluent and clinically wise.

References

1. Al-Harbi, F., & Al-Jundi, S. H. (2020). Integration of digital dental technology into preclinical and clinical training: current trends and future directions. The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry, 123(4), 676–682. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2019.04.001
2. Coachman, C., Calamita, M. A., Sesma, N., & Coachman, F. G. (2017). Digital Smile Design: A tool for treatment planning and communication in esthetic dentistry. Quintessence International, 48(6), 419–426.
3. Hattab, F. N., Al-Rimawi, H. S., & Nairn, R. I. (2022). Conventional versus digital impressions in prosthodontics: Comparative analysis of accuracy in edentulous arches. Journal of Prosthodontic Research, 66(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2186/jpr.JPRD-21-0074
4. Mangano, F. G., Hauschild, U., Admakin, O., & Mangano, C. (2016). Accuracy of intraoral scanners in dentistry: A systematic review. BMC Oral Health, 16, 102. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12903-016-0302-1
5. Shillingburg, H. T., Hobo, S., Whitsett, L. D., Jacobi, R., & Brackett, S. E. (2012). Fundamentals of Fixed Prosthodontics (4th ed.). Quintessence Publishing.
6. American College of Prosthodontists. (2022). Prosthodontic Competency Statements and Learning Outcomes. Retrieved from https://www.prosthodontics.org